## **EnKF Look Ma, no Hands!** Ensemble data Assimilation without Ensembles



Christian L. Keppenne<sup>1,2</sup>, Guillaume Vernieres<sup>1,2</sup>, Robin M. Kovach<sup>1,2</sup>, Michele.M. Rienecker<sup>1</sup>

## <sup>1</sup>NASA Global Modeling and Assimilation Office <sup>2</sup>Science Systems and Applications, Inc. (SSAI)

4th World Climate Research Program International Conference on Reanalyses Silver Spring, MD, May 07–11, 2012 We don't hate the EnKF!

Of course, it helps to have more than just one shot.



#### EnKF use at GMAO

- Ocean data assimilation since 2001 (Keppenne et al.)
- Land assimilation and initialization since 2002 (Reichle et al.)
- Ensemble-based 4DVAR planned for next generation ADAS (Todling et al.)

#### Objective

Maximize assimilation performance when only 1 model trajectory is available to estimate bckground covariances

#### 3 EnKF alternatives

- Steady state ensemble (a.k.a. enOI, asymptotic EnKF, SE\*K)
- Ensemble in time (LIFE)
- Ensemble in space (SAFE)

Other acronyms throughout: RMSF: rms observation minus forecast errors RMSA: rms observation minus analysis errors

#### Experimental context

• Model:

- GFDL MOM4p1 (OGCM component of GEOS-5 modeling system)
- LANL CICE IGCM
- Resolution: 720x410x40
- Tripolar grid cartesian below 60°N (same as NCEP GODAS)

• Forcing:

Surface fields from 2009-2011 coupled GEOS-5 run replaying MERRA

- Time span covered: 2010-2011
- Data assimilated:
  - Temperature profiles from ARGO, TAO, XBT, CTD & RAMA
  - Ice fractional coverage from NSIDC
  - Reynolds SSTs
- Data used for validation:
  - ARGO S, CTD S, RAMA S, Pirata S
  - ADCP u & v
  - PIOMAS ice thickness



## Ensemble of leading EOFs of forecast anomalies



Ensemble in time: Lagged instantiation of forecast errors (LIFE)

Analysis increment  $\Delta x_k$  at time  $t_k$  computed from ensemble  $(x'_k, x'_{k-1}, ..., x'_{k-n})$  of high-pass filtered lagged background states of current model run

### Window centering effect: W/o high-pass filtering, ensemble is dominated by lag = 0 and lag = n instances



Ensemble in space: Space adaptive forecast errors (SAFE)

Covariances computed as if an ensemble were sampled near every gridpoint



#### Assimilation procedure

- . 5-day assimilation interval
- Incremental update
- SSTs processed first, T profiles second, ice last
- Flow adaptive error-covariance localization
  - . SST and T profiles assimilation: x, y, z, t, T localization
  - . Ice assimilation: x, y, z localization
- Multi-scale assimilation used with T profiles and ice (unexplained part of innovation processed a 2nd time with shortened covariance localization scales)
- Logistic transformation of ice field to enhance covariances
- Adaptive error covariance inflation so as to maintain: trace(HPH<sup>t</sup>R<sup>-1</sup>)/n<sub>obs</sub> = pre-specified target value (1 when assimilating SSTs and T profiles, 1 for NH ice, 0.1 for SH ice)
- Comparison benchmark: univariate OI enhanced with flow adaptive covariance localization (EUOI)

#### Multi-scale procedure

# Covariance localization scales (x,y,z,t,T or $\rho$ ) repeatedly reduced Each time

- background is updated
- error covariances are rescaled:  $P_k = rms(oma_{k-1})/rms(omf_{k-1})P_{k-1}$
- unexplained portion of innovation is reprocessed





Control SAFE mono-scale SAFE multi-scale 1<sup>st</sup> iter. (k=1)



Ice fraction p in [0, 1] replaced with q = log(p'/(1 - p'))where  $p' = min(1 - \varepsilon, max(\varepsilon, p))$ q is rescaled to [0, 1]

Purpose:

- enhance spatial variance in SAFE
- enhance ensemble variance in LIFE/EnOI



## Logistic ice transformation vital in SAFE, LIFE

## NB: In current un-coupled configuration, forcing melts ice in Summer unless ice is assimilated!

## P and Q and corresponding s from baseline run with no assimilation

0.24 0.21 0.18 0.15 0.12 0.09 0.06 0.03 0.00













## T-profile assimilation configuration summary

	EnOI	Ensemble in space (SAFE)	Ensemble in time (LIFE)	Enhanced Univariate OI (EUOI)
updated	T, S, u, v	T, S, u, v	T, S, u, v	т
multi-scale	<b>Bi-scale</b>	Bi-scale	Bi-scale	Mono-scale

#### ARGO RMS passive S OMF/OMA Control EUOI SAFE LIFE EnOI

## Above 200m: SAFE ≈ EnOI ≈ LIFE > EUOI > control



![](_page_12_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Figure_5.jpeg)

T-Profiles assimilation results

![](_page_13_Picture_1.jpeg)

Multivariate update in SAFE, LIFE & EnOI preserves S when it is not assimilated

![](_page_13_Picture_3.jpeg)

SAFE & EnOI & LIFE > control > EUOI

![](_page_13_Figure_5.jpeg)

SAFE & ENOI & LIFE & EUOI > control

![](_page_13_Figure_7.jpeg)

SAFE ~ EnOI ~ LIFE ~ EUOI > control

![](_page_13_Figure_9.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Picture_1.jpeg)

Not much to write home about in terms of current but SAFE, LIFE & EnOI all do better than univariate T + ice assimilation

![](_page_14_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Figure_5.jpeg)

Multivariate ice update procedure (SAFE, LIFE, EnOI)

• Stage 1:

Compute  $\triangle$ ice assimilation increment + use of <ice,T> and <ice,S> covariances to compute  $\triangle$ T and  $\triangle$ S increments

• Stage 2: Calculate ( $T_{fr}$ ,  $S_{fr}$ ) that minimize [( $T - T_{fr}$ )/ $\sigma_T$ ]<sup>2</sup>+ [( $S - S_{fr}$ )/ $\sigma_S$ ]<sup>2</sup>

• Stage 3: Validation of stage 1 correction to ensure: If  $\Delta ice < > 0$ , then T analysis >= <= (1-ice) T<sub>ocean</sub> + ice T<sub>fr</sub> NSIDC Ice fraction assimilation configuration summary

	EnOI	Ensemble in space (SAFE)	Ensemble in time (LIFE)	Enhanced Univariate OI (EUOI)
updated	Ice, T, S	Ice, T, S	Ice, T, S	Ice
multi-scale	Bi-scale	Bi-scale	Bi-scale	Mono-scale

#### Northern hemisphere ice: SAFE > EnOI > LIFE > EUOI > control

![](_page_17_Figure_2.jpeg)

## Can't do without update of T, S when assimilating ice in NH!

![](_page_17_Figure_4.jpeg)

#### Southern hemisphere ice: SAFE > EnOI «LIFE » EUOI > control

![](_page_17_Figure_6.jpeg)

## Ice assimilation results **01/01/2011**

![](_page_18_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Figure_3.jpeg)

# Ice assimilation results **05/01/2011**

![](_page_19_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Figure_3.jpeg)

## Ice assimilation results **09/01/2011**

![](_page_20_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Figure_3.jpeg)

#### Initial ice thickness 01/01/2010

![](_page_21_Figure_2.jpeg)

## Ice thickness at end of run (12/01/2011)

### PIOMAS clim.

![](_page_21_Figure_5.jpeg)

0.0 0.6 1.2 1.8 2.4 3.0 3.6 4.2 4.8

### Conclusions

## Some benefits of ensemble data assimilation schemes

- estimating background-error spatial distribution,
- multivariate update of unobserved variables,
- are available with background-error covariances estimated from a single model run.