

OCO-2 v8a versus v7b:

Improvement in retrievals over high-latitudes

Abhishek Chatterjee^{1,2}, Britt Stephens³, Chris O'Dell⁴ OCO-2 Algorithm Team, ORCAS Team, ABoVE Team

NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, US
National Center for Atmospheric Research, US

2 Universities Space Research Association, US4 Colorado State University, US

OCO-2 Science Team Boulder, CO 25 October 2017







Background

- □ v8 has more data, especially ocean retrievals at high-latitudes
- □ How do v8a and v7b retrievals perform against data collected during ORCAS?





G





v7b

OCO-2 coverage during **ORCAS**

January 12 - February 29, 2016 (OCO2 v7B QF = 'good')









v8a

OCO-2 coverage during **ORCAS**

January 12 - February 29, 2016 (OCO2 v8A QF = 'good')









OCO-2 ORCAS (domain wide trends)







GMAO



GMAO





OCO-2 v8 & v7: high-latitude ocean retrievals

Takeaway

(given the first real opp. for validation of high-latitude retrievals...)

- □ v8a has higher SNR than v7b
- Combination of factors contributed improvements in prescreening cloud filtering, inclusion of strat. aerosols, changes in bias correction algorithm, likely other factors
- v8a picks a N-S gradient similar to ORCAS column data
 - this is extremely PROMISING!

Next Steps

- $\Box \quad \text{Are there residual biases in v8a?}$
- Will regular v8a (without bias correction) perform worse?
- $\square How robust are the trends in X_{CO2} (assessment of individual RFs)?$







QUESTIONS?

abhishek.chatterjee@nasa.gov



Global Modeling and Assimilation Office





Global Models vs. ORCAS



Kathryn McKain et al.

 ORCAS-Model comparisons show varying levels of agreement - due to errors in ocean fluxes vs. errors due to incorrect vertical mixing (transport)







OCO-2 ORCAS (RF assessments)



GMAO

Global Modeling and Assimilation Office gmao.gsfc.nasa.gov

